

WASHINGTON, DC 20036

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 020244 6400 Hiroshi Hashimoto 10/083,533 02/27/2002 EXAMINER 38834 7590 09/27/2005 LE, THAO X WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 700

2814

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/083,533	HASHIMOTO ET AL.	HASHIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Thao X. Le	2814	•		

		111d0 71: E0	20	
The MAILIN	NG DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 13	September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
this application, a places the application	d after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the follow ation in condition for allowance; (2) a No ntinued Examination (RCE) in compliance	wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
	reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
no event, howe Examiner Note	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ever, will the statutory period for reply expire I i: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Extensions of time may be have been filed is the date under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is c set forth in (b) above, if ch	e obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of for purposes of determining the period of exalculated from: (1) the expiration date of the elecked. Any reply received by the Office late atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of App filing the Notice o	peal was filed on A brief in comp of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte al has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of t	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
	mendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bi	rief, will not be entered I	pecause
	new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise t	the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	ow);		
appeal; and				the issues for
(d) They prese	nt additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			•
4. The amendments	s are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	has overcome the following rejection(s)			
non-allowable cla				
how the new or a	appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) mended claims would be rejected is pro claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed.				
Claim(s) rejected:	: <u>1-15 and 40</u> .			
	vn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER			- Al-6 6 A	
because applican	ther evidence filed after a final action, bu it failed to provide a showing of good an resented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appear will national device of Appear will not be a solution of Appear will not be a solution of the solution of t	is necessary and
entered because showing a good a	ther evidence filed after the date of filing the affidavit or other evidence failed to c and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap ry and was not earlier presented	opeal and/or appellant fa l. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or o	other evidence is entered. An explanatio	on of the status of the claims afte	er entry is below or attac	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECO 11. The requive because: See Continuation	ONSIDERATION/OTHER Lest for reconsideration has been consideration.	dered but does NOT place the ap	oplication in condition fo	r allowance
	ed Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s).	
13. Other:	, a manual biological oratomorn(o).	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants argues that the layer 31 of Patelmo cannot function or be considered as a bird's beak structure. This is not persuasive because the equivalent bird's beak structure (thinner in the inner and thicker outside) of Patelmo to claimed invention would be the layer s25 and 26 comprising a thicker outer portion 25 and thinner inner portion 26 as shown in fig. 23.

LONG PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER